arXiv:1203.5186v1 [math.CO] 23 Mar 2012

An improved bound on acyclic chromatic index of planar graphs *

Yue Guan, Jianfeng Hou[†], Yingyuan Yang
Center for Discrete Mathematics, Fuzhou University,
Fujian, P. R. China, 350002

Abstract: A proper edge coloring of a graph G is called acyclic if there is no bichromatic cycle in G. The acyclic chromatic index of G, denoted by $\chi'_a(G)$, is the least number of colors k such that G has an acyclic edge k-coloring. Basavaraju et al. [Acyclic edge-coloring of planar graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 25 (2) (2011), 463–478] showed that $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 12$ for planar graphs G with maximum degree $\Delta(G)$. In this paper, the bound is improved to $\Delta(G) + 10$.

Keywords: acyclic edge coloring, planar graph, critical graph

1 Introduction

In this paper, all considered graphs are finite, simple and undirected. We use $V(G)$, $E(G)$, $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ (or V, E, δ and Δ for simple) to denote the vertex set, the edge set, the minimum degree and the maximum degree of a graph G, respectively. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $N(v)$ denote the set of vertices adjacent to v and $d(v) = |N(v)|$ denote the *degree* of v. Let $N_k(v) = \{x \in N(v)|d(x) = k\}$ and $n_k(v) = |N_k(v)|$. A vertex of degree k is called a *k-vertex*. We write a *k^+-vertex* for a vertex of degree at least k, and a *k^--vertex* for that of degree at most k. The *girth* of a graph G, denoted by $g(G)$, is the length of its shortest cycle.

As usual $[k]$ stands for the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

A *proper edge k-coloring* of a graph G is a mapping ϕ from $E(G)$ to the color set $[k]$ such that no pair of adjacent edges are colored with the same color. A proper edge coloring of a graph G is called *acyclic* if there is no bichromatic cycle in G. In other words, if the union of any two color classes induces a subgraph of G which is a forest. The *acyclic chromatic index* of G, denoted by $\chi'_a(G)$, is the least number of colors k such that G has an acyclic edge k-coloring.

Acyclic edge coloring has been widely studied over the past twenty years. The first general linear upper bound on $\chi'_a(G)$ was found by Alon et al. [1] who proved that $\chi'_a(G) \leq 64\Delta(G)$. This bound was improved to $16\Delta(G)$ by Molloy and Reed [13].

In 2001, Alon, Sudakov and Zaks [2] stated the Acyclic Edge Coloring Conjecture, which says that $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$ for every graph G. Though the best known upper

---

*This work is supported by research grants NSFC (11001055) and NSFFP (2010J05004, 2011J06001).

[†] The corresponding author: jfhou@fzu.edu.cn

bound for general case is far from the conjecture $\Delta(G)+2$, the conjecture has been shown to be true for some special classes of graphs, including graphs with maximum degree at most three [4], non regular graphs with maximum degree at most four [6], outerplanar graphs [11], graphs with large girth [2], and so on.

Fiedorowicz et al. [9] gave an upper bound of $2\Delta(G)+29$ for planar graphs and of $\Delta(G)+6$ for triangle-free planar graphs. Independently, Hou et al. [12] proved that $\chi'_a(G) \leq \max\{2\Delta(G)-2, \Delta(G)+22\}$ for planar graphs and $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G)+2$ for planar graphs with girth at least 5. Borowiecki and Fiedorowicz [8] showed that $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G)+15$ for planar graphs without cycles of length 4. Hou et al. [10] improved the bound to $\Delta(G)+4$. Recently, Borowiecki et al. [5] showed that $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G)+12$ for planar graphs. In this paper, the bound is improved to $\Delta(G)+10$ as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let G be a planar graph with maximum degree $\Delta(G)$. Then $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G)+10$.*

In Section 2, we give a structural lemma of planar graphs using discharging method. In Section 3, we consider the properties of so-called acyclically edge critical graphs. In Section 4, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2 A structural lemma

In this section, we give a structural lemma of planar graphs using the well-know discharging method. This lemma plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.1 *Let G be a connected planar graph. Then there exists a vertex v with k neighbors $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_k$ with $d(v_1) \leq \cdots \leq d(v_k)$ such that at least one of the following is true:*

($\mathcal{A}_1$) *$k \leq 2$;*

($\mathcal{A}_2$) *$k=3$ with $d(v_1) \leq 11$;*

($\mathcal{A}_3$) *$k=4$ with $d(v_1) \leq 7$ and $d(v_2) \leq 9$;*

($\mathcal{A}_4$) *$k=5$ with $d(v_1) \leq 6, d(v_2) \leq 7$ and $d(v_3) \leq 8$.*

Proof. By contradiction, let G be a planar graph with a fixed embedding in the plane and suppose that G is a counterexample to the lemma. Let $F(G)$ denote its face set. We may assume that G is a 2-connected triangulation, for otherwise, we could add edges to G obtaining a triangulation G'. If none of ($\mathcal{A}_1$)-($\mathcal{A}_4$) holds for G, then clearly none of ($\mathcal{A}_1$)-($\mathcal{A}_4$) holds for G'.

We associate a charge $\varphi(x)$ to each element $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$ by $\varphi(x) = 2d(x)-6$ if $x \in V(G)$, and $\varphi(x) = d(x)-6$ if $x \in F(G)$. We shall transfer the charge of the vertices to the faces of G, in such a way that the total charge of vertices and faces remains constant. The discharging rules are defined as follows.

(R_1) Every 6^+-vertex v of G gives $\frac{\varphi(v)}{d(v)}$ to each incident face.

(R_2) Let v be a 4-vertex of G. If its neighbors are 8^+-vertices, then v gives $\frac{1}{2}$ to each incident face. Otherwise, v is adjacent to at most one vertex whose degree is at most seven, say v_1. Then v gives $\frac{4}{5}$ to each each incident face containing vv_1 and $\frac{1}{5}$ to each the other incident face.

(R_3) Let v be a 5-vertex with neighbors $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_5$ such that $d(v_1) \leq \cdots \leq d(v_5)$.

$(R_{3.1})$ If $d(v_1) \geq 7$, then v gives $\frac{4}{5}$ to each incident face.

$(R_{3.2})$ If $d(v_1) \leq 6$ and $d(v_2) \geq 8$, then v gives $\frac{5}{4}$ to each incident face containing vv_1 and $\frac{1}{2}$ to each the other incident face.

$(R_{3.3})$ If $d(v_1) \leq 6$ and $d(v_2) \leq 7$, then $d(v_3) \geq 9$. When the edge vv_1 and vv_2 belonging to a same face f, then v gives 1 to face f, $\frac{5}{6}$ to the face only containing vv_1 or vv_2, $\frac{2}{3}$ to each remaining incident face. Otherwise, v gives $\frac{13}{15}$ to each face containing vv_1 or vv_2, $\frac{8}{15}$ to each the other incident face.

By Euler's formula, we have

$$\sum_{v\in V(G)} \varphi(v) + \sum_{f\in F(G)} \varphi(f) = \sum_{v\in V(G)} (2d(v) - 6) + \sum_{f\in F(G)} (d(f) - 6) = -12. \tag{1}$$

After doing all possible charge transfers once, let $\varphi'(x)$ be the resulting charge on each element $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$. Then

$$\sum_{x\in V(G)\cup F(G)} \varphi'(x) = \sum_{x\in V(G)\cup F(G)} \varphi(x) = -12. \tag{2}$$

Now we shall show $\varphi'(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$, a contradiction to (2).

Let v be any vertex of G. Clearly, $\varphi'(v) = \varphi(v) = 0$, if $d(v) = 3$, and $\varphi'(v) = \varphi(v) - d(v) \times \frac{\varphi(v)}{d(v)} = 0$, if $d(v) \geq 6$ by (R_1).

Suppose that v is a 4-vertex. Then $\varphi(v) = 2$. If the neighbors of v are 8^+-vertices, then $\varphi'(v) = \varphi(v) - 4 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$; otherwise, $\varphi'(v) = \varphi(v) - 2 \times \frac{4}{5} - 2 \times \frac{1}{5} = 0$ by (R_2).

Suppose that v is a 5-vertex. Then $\varphi(v) = 4$. If $d(v_1) \geq 7$, then $\varphi'(v) \geq \varphi(v) - 5 \times \frac{4}{5} = 0$ by $(R_{3.1})$. If $d(v_1) \leq 6$ and $d(v_2) \geq 8$, then $\varphi'(v) \geq \varphi(v) - 2 \times \frac{5}{4} - 3 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$ by $(R_{3.2})$. Otherwise, $d(v_1) \leq 6$, $d(v_2) \leq 7$ and $d(v_3) \geq 9$, since G does not contain the configuration $(\mathcal{A}_4)$. In this case, if vv_1 and vv_2 are incident with a same face, then $\varphi'(v) \geq \varphi(v) - 1 - 2 \times \frac{5}{6} - 2 \times \frac{2}{3} = 0$. Otherwise, $\varphi'(v) \geq \varphi(v) - 4 \times \frac{13}{15} - \frac{8}{15} = 0$.

Let $f = [xyz]$ be any 3-face of G with $d(x) \leq d(y) \leq d(z)$. Then $\varphi(f) = -3$. If $d(x) \geq 6$, then f gets at least 1 from each incident vertex, $\varphi'(f) \geq \varphi(f) + 3 \times 1 = 0$. If $d(x) = 6$, then $d(y) \geq 12$. This implies that y (or z) gives at least $\frac{3}{2}$ to f by (R_1), so $\varphi'(f) \geq \varphi(f) + 2 \times \frac{3}{2} = 0$.

Suppose that $d(x) = 4$. Then x gives at least $\frac{1}{5}$ to f. We consider the degree of y. If $d(y) \geq 10$, then $\varphi'(f) \geq \varphi(f) + \frac{1}{5} + 2 \times \frac{7}{5} = 0$. If $8 \leq d(y) \leq 9$, since G does not contain the configuration $(\mathcal{A}_3)$, v is not adjacent to a 7^--vertex. This implies x gives $\frac{1}{2}$ to f by (R_2), so $\varphi'(f) \geq \varphi(f) + \frac{1}{2} + 2 \times \frac{5}{4} = 0$. Otherwise, $d(y) \leq 7$ and $d(z) \geq 10$. The vertex x gives $\frac{4}{5}$ to f by (R_2) and z gives at least $\frac{7}{5}$ by (R_1). Moreover, y gives at least $\frac{5}{4}$ to f by (R_1), (R_2) and (R_3). Thus $\varphi'(f) \geq \varphi(f) + 2 \times \frac{4}{5} + \frac{7}{5} = 0$.

Suppose that $d(x) = 5$. Then x gives at least $\frac{1}{2}$ to f. If $d(y) \geq 8$, then $\varphi'(f) \geq \varphi(f) + \frac{1}{2} + 2 \times \frac{5}{4} = 0$ by (R_1). If $d(y) = 7$, then x gives $\frac{4}{5}$ to f by (R_3), so $\varphi'(f) \geq \varphi(f) + \frac{4}{5} + 2 \times \frac{8}{7} > 0$. Otherwise, $d(y) = 5$ or 6. Note that x (or y) gives at least $\frac{5}{6}$ to f by (R_1) and (R_3). We consider the degree of z.

Case 1. $d(y) \geq 9$

Then $\varphi'(f) \geq \varphi(f) + \frac{4}{3} + 2 \times \frac{5}{6} = 0$.

Case 2. $d(y) = 8$.

In this case, x gives $\frac{5}{4}$ to f. Thus $\varphi'(f) \geq \varphi(f) + \frac{5}{6} + 2 \times \frac{5}{4} > 0$.

Case 3. $d(y) \leq 7$.

In this case, x sends 1 to f by $(R_{3.3})$, x (or y) sends at least 1 to f by (R_1) and $(R_{3.3})$. Thus $\varphi'(f) \geq \varphi(f) + 3 \times 1 = 0$.

In any case, we have $\varphi'(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$, a contradiction to (2). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. ∎

3 Properties of acyclically edge k-critical graphs

Let $\phi : E(G) \longrightarrow [k]$ be an edge k-coloring of G. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$ and an edge $e = uv$, we say that the color $\phi(e)$ *appears* on v. Let $C_\phi(v) = \{\phi(uv) | u \in N(v)\}$ and $F_\phi(uv) = \{\phi(vv') | v' \in N(v), v' \neq v\}$. Note that $F_\phi(uv)$ need not be the same as $F_\phi(vu)$.

Recall that a *multiset* is a generalized set where a member can appear multiple times. If an element x appears t times in a multiset $\mathcal{S}$, then we say that the *multiplicity* of x in $\mathcal{S}$ is t. In notation $mult_{\mathcal{S}}(x) = t$. The cardinality of a finite multiset $\mathcal{S}$, denoted by $||\mathcal{S}||$, is defined as $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} mult_{\mathcal{S}}(x)$. Let $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$ be two multisets. The *join* of $\mathcal{S}_1$ and $\mathcal{S}_2$, denoted as $\mathcal{S}_1 \uplus \mathcal{S}_2$, is the multiset that have all the members of $\mathcal{S}_1$ as well as $\mathcal{S}_2$ such that for any $x \in \mathcal{S}_1 \uplus \mathcal{S}_2$, $mult_{\mathcal{S}_1 \uplus \mathcal{S}_2}(x) = mult_{\mathcal{S}_1}(x) + mult_{\mathcal{S}_2}(x)$.

Let α, β be two colors. An (α, β)-*maximal bichromatic path* with respect to ϕ is maximal path consisting of edges that are colored α and β alternatingly. An (α, β, u, v)-*critical path* is an (α, β)-maximal bichromatic path which starts at the vertex u with an edge colored α and ends at v with an edge colored α.

A graph G is called an *acyclically edge k-critical graph* if $\chi'_a(G) > k$ and any proper subgraph of G is acyclically edge k-colorable. Obviously, if G is an acyclically edge k-critical graph with $k > \Delta(G)$, then $\Delta(G) \geq 3$. The following facts are obvious.

Fact 3.1 *Given a pair of color α and β of a proper edge coloring ϕ of G, there is at most one (α, β)-maximal bichromatic path containing a particular vertex v, with respect to ϕ.*

Fact 3.2 *Let G be an acyclically edge k-critical graph, and uv be an edge of G. Then for any acyclically edge k-coloring ϕ of $G - uv$, if $C_\phi(u) \cap C_\phi(v) = \emptyset$, then $d(u) + d(v) \geq k + 2$. If $|C_\phi(u) \cap C_\phi(v)| = t$, say $\phi(uu_i) = \phi(vv_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, .., t$, then $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t} d(v_i) + d(u) + d(v) \geq k + t + 2$ and $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t} d(u_i) + d(u) + d(v) \geq k + t + 2$.*

In [10], Hou et al. considered the properties of acyclically edge k-critical graphs and got the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 *[10] Any acyclically edge k-critical graph is 2-connected.*

Lemma 3.2 *[10] Let G be an acyclically edge k-critical graph with $k \geq \Delta(G) + 2$ and v be a 3-vertex of G. Then the neighbors of v are $(k - \Delta(G) + 2)^+$-vertices.*

In this section, we give some properties of acyclically edge critical graphs, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.3 *Let v be a 2-vertex of an acyclically edge k-critical graph G with $k > \Delta(G)$. Then the neighbors of v are $(k-\Delta(G)+3)^+$-vertices.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that v has a neighbor u whose degree is at most $k-\Delta(G)+2$. Let $N(v)=\{u,w\}$ and $N(u)=\{v,u_1,u_2,\ldots,u_t\}$, where $t \le k-\Delta(G)+1$. Then the graph $G'=G-uv$ admits an acyclic edge k-coloring ϕ by the choice of G with $\phi(uu_i)=i$ for $1\le i\le t$. Since $d(u)+d(v)\le \Delta(G)+2$, we have $\phi(wv)\in C_\phi(u)$ by Fact 3.2, say $\phi(wv)=1$. Then for any $t+1\le i\le k$, there is a $(1,i,u,v)$-critical path through u_1 and w with respect to ϕ, since otherwise we can color uv with i properly with avoiding bichromatic cycle, a contradiction to the choice of G. Thus $\Delta(G)\ge d(u_1)\ge k-t+1$. This implies that $t=k-\Delta(G)+1$ and $C_\phi(u_1)=C_\phi(w)=\{1,k-\Delta(G)+2,\ldots,k\}$. Recolor wv with 2, by the same argument, there is a $(2,i,u,v)$-critical path through u_2 and w for any $t+1\le i\le k$. Now exchange the colors on uu_1 and uu_2, and color uv with $t+1$. The resulting coloring is an acyclic edge coloring of G using k colors by Fact 3.1, a contradiction. ■

Lemma 3.4 *Let v be a t-vertex of an acyclically edge k-critical graph G with $k>\Delta(G)$ and $t\ge k-\Delta(G)+2$. Then $n_2(v)\le t+\Delta(G)-k-2$.*

Proof. If $n_2(v)=0$, we are done. Otherwise, $t\ge k-\Delta(G)+3$ by Lemma 3.3. By contradiction, suppose that v has neighbors $v_1,v_2,\cdots,v_t$ with $d(v_i)\ge 3$ for any $1\le i\le m$, where $m\le k-\Delta(G)+1$, and $N(v_i)=\{v,u_i\}$ for any $m+1\le i\le t$. The graph $G'=G-vv_t$ admits an acyclic edge k-coloring ϕ by the choice of G with $\phi(vv_i)=i$ for $1\le i\le t-1$. Since $d(v)+d(v_t)\le\Delta(G)+2$, $\phi(u_tv_t)\in\{1,2,\cdots,m\}$ by Fact 3.2, say $\phi(u_tv_t)=1$. Then for any color i with $t\le i\le k$, there is a $(1,i,v,v_t)$-critical path through v_1 and u_t. Note that for any i with $m+1\le i\le t-1$, the color i should appear on u_t, since otherwise, we can recolor u_tv_t with i and color vv_t with a color appearing neither on v nor on v_i. Thus $\{m+1,\cdots,k\}\subseteq C_\phi(u_t)$. Then $\Delta(G)\ge d(u_t)\ge k-m+1$. This implies that $m=k-\Delta(G)+1$ and $d(u_t)=\Delta(G)$. For any $1\le i\le m$, and $t\le j\le k$, there is a (i,j,v,v_t)-critical path through v_i and u_t if we recolor v_tv_t with i, since otherwise, we can recolor u_tv_t with i and color vv_t with j.

Claim. For any $m+1\le i\le t-1$, there is a $(1,i,v,v_t)$-critical path through v_1 and u_t with respect to ϕ.

Proof. Otherwise, suppose that there exists a color i_0 with $m+1\le i_0\le t-1$, such that there is not a $(1,i_0,v,v_t)$-critical path through v_1 and u_t. Color vv_t with i_0, uncolor vv_{i_0}, the colors on the other edges unchange, we get an acyclic edge coloring φ of $G-vv_{i_0}$. It follows from Fact 3.2 that $\varphi(u_{i_0}v_{i_0})\in\{1,2,\cdots,m\}$. Coloring vv_{i_0} with t results in an acyclic edge coloring of G, a contradiction. ■

If we recolor u_tv_t with 2, as in the proof above, there is a $(2,i,v,v_t)$-critical path through v_2 and u_t for any $m+1\le i\le t-1$. Thus $d(v_i)=\Delta(G)$ and $F_\phi(vv_i)=\{m+1,\cdots,k\}$ for $i=1,2$. We exchange the colors on vv_1 and vv_2, and color vv_t with t. The resulting coloring is an acyclic edge coloring of G by Fact 3.1, a contradiction. ■

Lemma 3.5 *Let uv be an edge of an acyclically edge k-critical graph G with $k > \Delta(G)$. If $d(u) + d(v) \leq k - \Delta(G) + 4$, then for any acyclic edge k-coloring ϕ of $G - uv$, $|C_\phi(v) \cap C_\phi(u)| \geq 2$.*

Proof. By contradiction, suppose that there exists an acyclic edge k-coloring ϕ of $G - uv$ such that $|C_\phi(v) \cap C_\phi(u)| \leq 1$. It follows from Fact 3.2 that $|C_\phi(v) \cap C_\phi(u)| = 1$, say $\phi(uu_1) = \phi(vv_1) = 1$. Let $T = [k] \setminus C_\phi(v) \cup C_\phi(u)$. Then $|T| = k - |C_\phi(v) \cup C_\phi(u)| \geq k - (k - \Delta(G) + 1) = \Delta(G) - 1$. Moreover, for any color $i \in T$, there is a $(1, i, u, v)$-critical path through u_1 and v_1. This implies that $|T| = \Delta(G) - 1$, $F_\phi(uu_1) = F_\phi(vv_1) = T$, and $d(u) + d(v) = k - \Delta(G) + 4 \geq 5$. We may assume that $d(u) \geq 3$. Let u_2 be another neighbor of u different from u_1 and assume that $\phi(uu_2) = 2$. Then $2 \notin C_\phi(v)$. Recoloring vv_1 with 2 results in another acyclic edge k-coloring ϕ' of $G - uv$. Similarly, there is a $(2, i, u, v)$-critical path through u_2 and v_1 with respect to ϕ' for any $i \in T$. Thus $F_\phi(uu_2) = T$. Then we exchange the colors on uu_1 and uu_2, and color uv with a color in T. By Fact 3.1, the resulting coloring is an acyclic edge coloring of G, a contradiction. ∎

Lemma 3.6 *Let v be 3^+-vertex of an acyclically edge k-critical graph G with neighbors $u, v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_t$, where $k > \Delta(G)$, and ϕ be an acyclic edge k-coloring of $G - uv$. If $d(u) + d(v) \leq k - \Delta(G) + 4$ and $|C_\phi(v) \cap C_\phi(u)| = 2$, then the multiset $\mathcal{S} = F_\phi(vv_1) \uplus F_\phi(vv_2) \uplus \cdots F_\phi(vv_t)$ contains at least $d(u)$ colors from $C_\phi(u) \cup C_\phi(v)$.*

Proof. Assume that $\phi(vv_i) = i$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, t$, $\{1, 2\} \subseteq C_\phi(u)$. Let $T = [k] \setminus C_\phi(v) \cup C_\phi(u)$. Then $|T| = k - |C_\phi(v) \cup C_\phi(u)| \geq k - (k - \Delta(G)) = \Delta(G)$, and for any color $i \in T$, there is either a $(1, i, u, v)$-critical path or a $(2, i, u, v)$-critical path. Note that for $i = 1, 2$, $F_\phi(vv_i) \cap \{3, \cdots, t\} \neq \emptyset$, since otherwise, we can choose a color from $T \setminus F_\phi(vv_i)$ to recolor vv_i and get a new acyclic edge coloring ϕ' of $G - uv$ with $|C_{\phi'}(v) \cap C_{\phi'}(u)| = 1$ by Fact 3.1, a contradiction to Lemma 3.5.

Now we show $\mathcal{S}$ contains at least $d(u) - 2$ colors from $C_\phi(u)$, which implies that $\mathcal{S}$ contains at least $d(u)$ colors from $C_\phi(u) \cup C_\phi(v)$. Otherwise, let α, β be two colors in $C_\phi(u)$ but not contain in $\mathcal{S}$. Then recolor vv_1 with α and vv_2 with β. The resulting coloring is an acyclic edge coloring ϕ' of $G - uv$. Then for any color $i \in T$, there is either an (α, i, u, v)-critical path through v_1, or an (β, i, u, v)-critical path through v_2 with respect to ϕ'. Assume that $\phi(uu') = \alpha$ and $\phi(uu'') = \beta$. Since $|T| \geq \Delta(G)$, there exists a color $\gamma \in T \setminus F_\phi(uu')$. Then $\gamma \in F_\phi(uu'')$ and there is a (β, γ, u, v)-critical path through u'' and v_2. Now we give an acyclic edge k-coloring φ of G by $\varphi(vv_1) = \beta$, $\varphi(vv_2) = \alpha$, $\varphi(uv) = \gamma$, and $\varphi(e) = \phi(e)$ for the other edges of G, a contradiction.

∎

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By contradiction, let G be a planar graph with a fixed embedding in the plane and suppose that G is an acyclically edge $(\Delta(G) + 10)$-critical graph, i.e., G is the minimum counterexample to the theorem in terms of the number of edges. Then G is 2-connected by Lemma 3.1. Let v be a vertex of G. If $d(v) = 2$, then the neighbors of v are 13^+-vertices by Lemma 3.3. If $d(v) = 3$, then the neighbors of v are 12^+-vertices by Lemma 3.2. If $d(v) \geq 12$, then $n_2(v) \leq d(v) - 12$ by Lemma 3.4.

Now we show that G contains the configuration $(\mathcal{A}_3)$ or $(\mathcal{A}_4)$. Let H be the graph obtained by deleting all 2-vertices from G. Then for any vertex $v \in V(H)$, either $d_H(v) = d_G(v) \geq 3$, or $d_H(v) \geq 12$ by Lemma 3.4. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that there exists a 5^--vertex v in H such that v belongs to one of the configurations $(\mathcal{A}_2), (\mathcal{A}_3), (\mathcal{A}_4)$. This implies that $d_G(v) = d_H(v)$ and v belongs to one of the configurations $(\mathcal{A}_2), (\mathcal{A}_3), (\mathcal{A}_4)$ in G by Lemma 3.4. However, if $d(v) = 3$, then the neighbors of v are 12^+-vertices by Lemma 3.2. Thus G contain the configuration $(\mathcal{A}_3)$ or $(\mathcal{A}_4)$.

Suppose that v has neighbors $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_t$, where $t = 3, 4$, with $d(v_1) \leq d(v_2) \leq \cdots \leq d(v_t)$, v_1 has neighbors $v, w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_s$, and let $k = \Delta(G) + 10$ for simplicity. Then the graph $G' = G - vv_1$ admits an acyclic edge k-coloring ϕ by the choice of G with $\phi(v_1w_i) = i$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Since $d(v) + d(v_1) \leq 11$, $|C_\phi(v) \cap C_\phi(v_1)| \geq 2$ by Lemma 3.5. Let $T = [k] \setminus (C_\phi(v) \cup C_\phi(v_1))$ and $\mathcal{S} = F_\phi(vv_2) \uplus \cdots \uplus F_\phi(vv_t)$. Then Now we derive an acyclic edge k-coloring of G from ϕ, a contradiction.

Case 1. $d(v) = 4$ with $d(v_1) \leq 7$ and $d(v_2) \leq 9$.

Note that $|T| = k - (s+1) \geq \Delta(G) + 3$ and $||\mathcal{S}|| \leq 2(\Delta(G) - 1) + 8 = 2\Delta(G) + 6$. We consider the number of colors in $C_\phi(v) \cap C_\phi(v_1)$.

Subcase 1.1. $|C_\phi(v) \cap C_\phi(v_1)| = 2$.

Suppose that $\phi(vx_i) = \phi(v_1w_i) = i$ for $i = 1, 2$, where $i \in \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. Then for any color $s + 2 \leq i \leq k$, there is either a $(1, i, v, v_1)$-critical path through x_1 and w_1, or a $(2, i, v, v_1)$-critical path through x_2 and w_2 with respect to ϕ. Since $\mathcal{S}$ contains at least $d(v_1)$ colors from $C_\phi(v) \cup C_\phi(v_1)$ by Lemma 3.6, there exists a color $\alpha \in T$ such that $mult_{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha) = 1$, say $\alpha \notin F_\phi(vv_2)$. Recoloring vv_2 with α results in an acyclic edge coloring ϕ' of $G - vv_1$ with $|C_{\phi'}(v) \cap C_{\phi'}(v_1)| = 1$, a contradiction to Lemma 3.5.

Subcase 1.2 $|C_\phi(v) \cap C_\phi(v_1)| = 3$.

In this case, $|T| = k - s \geq \Delta(G) + 4$. This implies that there exists a color $\alpha \in T$ such that $mult_{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha) = 1$, say $\alpha \notin F_\phi(vv_2)$. Recoloring vv_2 with α results in an acyclic edge coloring ϕ' of $G - vv_1$ with $|C_{\phi'}(v) \cap C_{\phi'}(v_1)| = 2$, and the argument in Subcase 1.1 works.

Case 2 $d(v) = 5$, with $d(v_1) \leq 6, d(v_2) \leq 7$ and $d(v_3) \leq 8$.

Note that $|T| = k-(s+2) = \Delta(G)+8-s$ and $||\mathcal{S}|| \leq 2(\Delta(G)-1)+6+7 = 2\Delta(G)+11$. We consider the number of colors in $C_\phi(v) \cap C_\phi(v_1)$.

Subcase 2.1 $|C_\phi(v) \cap C_\phi(v_1)| = 2$.

Suppose that $\phi(vx_i) = \phi(v_1w_i) = i$ for $i = 1, 2$, where $i \in \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. Then for any color $s + 2 \leq i \leq k$, there is either a $(1, i, v, v_1)$-critical path through x_1 and w_1, or a $(2, i, v, v_1)$-critical path through x_2 and w_2 with respect to ϕ. Since $\mathcal{S}$ contains at least $s + 1$ colors from $C_\phi(v) \cup C_\phi(v_1)$ by Lemma 3.6, there exists a color $\alpha \in T$, such that $mult_{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha) = 1$, say $\alpha \notin F_\phi(vv')$. Recoloring vv' with α results in an acyclic edge coloring ϕ' of $G - vv_1$ with $|C_{\phi'}(v) \cap C_{\phi'}(v_1)| = 1$, a contradiction to Lemma 3.5.

Subcase 2.2 $|C_\phi(v) \cap C_\phi(v_1)| = 3$.

Suppose that $N(v) = \{v_1, x_1, \cdots, x_4\}$, $\phi(vx_i) = \phi(v_1w_i) = i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $\phi(vx_4) = s+1$. Then for any color $i \in T$, there is either a $(1, i, v, v_1)$-critical path through x_1, or a $(2, i, v, v_1)$-critical path through x_2, or a $(3, i, v, v_1)$-critical path through x_3. Let

$\mathcal{S}' = F_\phi(vx_1) \uplus F_\phi(vx_2) \uplus F_\phi(vx_3)$. Note that $|T| = k-(s+1) = \Delta(G)+9-s \geq \Delta(G)+4$, $||\mathcal{S}'|| \leq 2(\Delta(G)-1)+7 = 2\Delta(G)+5$. There exists a color $\alpha \in T$, such that $mult_{\mathcal{S}'}(\alpha) = 1$, say $\alpha \in F_\phi(vx_1)$. Recoloring vx_2 with α results in a proper edge coloring ϕ' of $G - vv_1$ with $|C_{\phi'}(v) \cap C_{\phi'}(v_1)| = 2$. If there is no bichromatic cycle with respect to ϕ', we are done. Otherwise, there is a $(s+1, \alpha, v, x_2)$-critical path through x_4 with respect to ϕ'. Let $\phi''(vx_3) = \alpha$, $\phi''(e) = \phi(e)$ for the other edges $e \in G - vv_1$. Then ϕ'' is an acyclic edge coloring of $G - vv_1$ with $|C_{\phi''}(v) \cap C_{\phi''}(v_1)| = 2$ by Fact 3.1 and the argument in Subcase 2.1 works.

Subcase 2.3 $|C_\phi(v) \cap C_\phi(v_1)| = 4$.

Suppose that $\phi(vv_i) = i-1$ for $2 \leq i \leq 5$. Recolor vv_2 with a color from $T \backslash F_\phi(vv_2)$ and get an proper edge coloring ϕ' of $G - vv_1$. If ϕ' does not contain bichromatic cycle, then ϕ' is acyclic with $|C_{\phi'}(v) \cap C_{\phi'}(v_1)| = 3$, and the argument in Subcase 2.2 works. Otherwise, $F_\phi(vv_2) \cap \{2,3,4\} \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, for $i = 3,4,5$, $F_\phi(vv_i) \cap \{1,2,3,4\} \neq \emptyset$. Thus $\mathcal{S}$ contains at least four colors from $\{1,2,3,4\}$. Since $|T| = k-s \geq \Delta(G)+5$ and $||\mathcal{S}|| \leq 2\Delta(G)+11$, there exists a color $\alpha \in T$, such that $mult_{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha) = 1$, say $\alpha \notin F_\phi(vv_1)$. Recoloring vv_1 with α results in an acyclic edge coloring ϕ'' of $G - vv_1$ with $|C_{\phi''}(v) \cap C_{\phi''}(v_1)| = 3$, the argument in Subcase 2.2 works.

In any case, we can get an acyclic edge coloring of G with $\Delta(G) + 10$ colors, a contradiction. ■

Determining the acyclic chromatic index of a graph is a hard problem both from theoretical and algorithmic points of view. Even for complete graphs, the acyclic chromatic index is still not determined exactly.

Problem 4.1 *Determine the acyclic chromatic index of planar graphs.*

References

[1] N. Alon, A parallel algorithmic version of the Local Lemma, Random Structures Algorithms 2 (1991) 367–378.

[2] N. Alon, B. Sudakov and A. Zaks, Acyclic edge colorings of graphs, J. Graph Theory 37 (2001) 157–167.

[3] N. Alon, A. Zaks, Algorithmic aspects of acyclic edge colorings, Algorithmica 32 (2002) 611–614.

[4] L.D. Andersen,E. Máčajová, J. Mazák, Optimal acyclic edge-coloring of cubic graphs, J. Graph Theory DOI 10.1002/jgt.20650.

[5] M. Basavaraju, L.S. Chandran, N. Cohen, F. Havet and T. Müller, Acyclic edge-coloring of planar graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math 25 (2) (2011) 463–478.

[6] M. Basavaraju, L.S. Chandran, Acyclic edge coloring of graphs with maximum degree 4, J. Graph Theory 61 (3) (2009) 192–209.

[7] M. Basavaraju, L.S. Chandran, Acyclic edge coloring of subcubic graphs, Discrete Mathematics 308 (2008) 6650–6653.

[8] M. Borowiecki, A. Fiedorowicz, Acyclic edge colouring of planar graphs without short cycles, Discrete Mathematics 310 (2010) 1445–1455.

[9] A. Fiedorowicz, M. Hałuszczak, N. Narayanan, About acyclic edge colourings of planar graphs, Information Processing Letters 108 (2008) 412–417.

[10] J. Hou, N. Roussel, J. Wu, Acyclic chromatic index of planar graphs with triangles, Information Processing Letters, 111 (2011) 836–840.

[11] J. Hou, J. Wu, G. Liu and B. Liu, Acyclic edge chromatic number of outerplanar graphs, J. Graph Theory, 64 (2010) 22–36.

[12] J. Hou, J. Wu, G. Liu and B. Liu, Acyclic edge colorings of planar graphs and seriell-parallel graphs, Sciences in China A 52 (2009) 605–616.

[13] M. Molloy, B. Reed, Further Algorithmic Aspects of the Local Lemma, Proceedings of the 30th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (1998) 524–529.